

(Pseudo)
"Secularism"

(The Peril we need to)
Combat

Truth on the Scaffold

Patriotism Re-asserting

Vol.8 No. 5

Editor: Dr. T.H. Chowdary

MAY, 2010

Inside ...

- Samaana Nyaya Vedika Equal Justice For All
- The IPL Show
- No Property Tax for Minority Institutions
- Jawaharlal Nehru's Belief Infallibility- A Disaster to India
- Are you a Secularism?
- Shivaji's letter to Aurangzeb
- Pt. Nehru on Islamic and Hindu Civilisations
- Hussainiana

* * *

Editor : Dr. T. H. Chowdary

Associate Editor : Smt. P. Parijata

APPEAL

5 years' contribution : Rs.400/-

DD/Local Cheque payable in Hyd may please be drawn in favour of **"Secularism" Combat**

Annual Contribution : Rs.100/-

* * *

Editor, Printer & Publisher:

Dr. T.H. Chowdary

for "Secularism" Combat

8, P&T Colony,

Karkhana (Secunderabad),

Hyderabad - 500 009.

Phone : { +91 (40) 6667-1191

{ +91 (40) 2784-6137

Fax : +91 (40) 6667-1111

E-mail : hanuman.chowdary@tcs.com

website: www.drthchowdary.net

Legal Adviser: Sri CVL Narasimha Rao

*All that is necessary for evil
to triumph is for good men
to do nothing*
- Edmund Burke

Maoist Menace: A Lesson from the Past

Dr. T. H. Chowdary

In the 1940s the communist party of India under the spell of the Soviet Union indulged into brazenly and blatantly anti-Indian exertions. It applied Stalin's thesis of the right of nationalities for self-determination to the demand of the Muslim residents of India that they area a separate nation entitled to self-determination and for the partition of India to create an Islamic state as their home land. The second anti-Indian action was their taking up arms to overthrow the newly independent Government of India headed by Nehru, characterizing it as a lackey of Anglo-American imperialism. They took to armed actions against the State mainly in Andhra & Telengana, Kerala and West Bengal between 1948 and 1951 Sept. It was as a result of consultation with and direction from Joseph Stalin to the communist quartet of Sri Rajeswara Rao, Basavapunnaiah, S.A.Dange and Ajay Ghosh that the armed actions to overthrow the Government of India for establishment of a Communist State were given up. They were already on the losing side of the battles because of the determined actions of Sardar Patel and C. Rajagopalachari who were Home Ministers in Delhi (CR also was the Chief Minister of Madras Province which was also the scene of communist armed actions). The communist insurgency was suppressed by using the Indian army in Telengana and paramilitary forces in areas of India. Realising that armed actions alone would not be able to put an end to communist insurgency, the governments enacted preventive detention acts so that the above-ground communist infrastructure comprising of progressive writers, peace movement activists, civil liberties union and human rights advocates could all be arrested and immobilised from providing safe homes, arms purchases, misleading propaganda and use of

Please visit us at: www.drthchowdary.net

democratic rights and civil liberties guaranteed by the constitution to peaceful Indians, made use of to defend the subversive and anti-state actions of the armed communists.

2. The Naxalites and Maoists who are now in operation in 160 districts of the country (one quarter of the total) have no faith and in no loyalty to the Indian Constitution and State. They themselves are describing as Peoples War Groups (PWG) and they have military formations like People Liberating Guerrilla Army in Kerala, Karnataka, Tamilnadu border areas and Commanders of Guerrilla Zone in Dandakarunya Special Zonal Committee, Andhra and Orissa Special Zonal Committee and so on. These are like our army's fighting divisions, corps and eastern and western etc., commands. By the very designation Maoist, it is clear that their inspiration is not from this soil, from this country's history, philosophy or society but from a foreign country and foreign ideologies. They are waging war. As if they are already a government, in their supreme self – confidence, they are offering cease fire and most stunningly, they offered compensation to the families of the 76 CRPF Jawans they killed in the latest battle. It is clear from their formations, from their ideology, from their actions and their declarations that they are an army and a State in the making, challenging the State of India itself. They are **operating not in state by state but across the states**. Is it not absurd for the Home Minister to say that the fighting these Maoists is primarily the responsibility of the State police and that the Center could only aid and guide them? Can a State or a few states together fight an all

The Naxalites and Maoists who are now in operation in 160 districts of the country (one quarter of the total) have no faith and in no loyalty to the Indian Constitution and State. They themselves are describing as Peoples War Groups (PWG) and they have military formations like People Liberating Guerrilla Army in Kerala, Karnataka, Tamilnadu border..

-India insurgent army with its police trained and deployed to keep law & order?

3. No rebel army can be sustained in the field without a support structure operating openly by utilising the freedoms and rights that are guaranteed by the democratic Constitution which is mainly applicable to citizens who are loyal to the Constitution and who are peaceful. The infrastructure to sustain the Maoist armies is provided by the above - ground Maoists under different descriptions like the Peoples Union for Civil Liberties, revolutionary writers associations, revolutionary students union, people democratic students union, Student Federation of India, progressive writers, societies for civil liberties human rights and so on, all of which are under the command of the Maoist armies. The former are making use of the democratic freedoms and liberties and rights guaranteed by the civilized state, India. Can any state which has the responsibility of defending itself and the Constitution and guarantee the life and limb and liberties of its peaceful citizens, treat an all India armed revolution, and organised armies, be they guerrillas as though it is a local problem, a social problem, a problem of development, social justice, tribal rights etc?

4. All the political parties with perhaps the exception of the BJP had been either by fear or by populism at one time or the other, have supported of the Maoists calling them patriots fighting for social justice (which they as rulers for sixty years failed to deliver). The PWG, the Naxalites and various such groups seem to have forged common armies to take on the might of the Indian State. Politicians and political parties while in opposition have

For other works of Dr T H Chowdary please visit

www.drthchowdary.net

fraternised at least in words and statements with the Maoists. Even Lok Satta party says that they are “peaceful Naxalites” little realising that the aim of Naxalite/Maoist army and party is to destroy the present state and its Constitution and put in place, a Marxist dictatorship of the proletariat like in China, North Korea, Cuba and earlier, in the Soviet states of eastern central Europe besides USSR. The Maoists are drawing inspiration from a historic past when the Peoples Liberation Army under Chairman, Mao Tse Tung fought for more than two decades against the established State before he finally becoming victorious in 1949. The UPA government at the Center and many ramshackle governments in the States are in no better position than the corrupt Ko Ming Tang in China before 1949. While the KMT showed the will to fight the Chinese communists, many political parties and governments in India are compromised, may be out of fear from violence of the Maoists their people’s courts and instant justice. That is why the Maoists have been able to spread their armies in several district in different states, each ruled by a different party there.

5. If the Maoist armies are to be defeated, first **it is necessary to destroy their above-ground infrastructure** by resort to Preventive Detention Acts which were used for the same purpose against the same communist insurgency in 1947-51. Then the Government of India had no hesitation in using the armed forces to fight the armed communists. Even Pakistan is using its armies and airforce to put down the Taliban terrorism and insurgency. Russia has repeatedly used its army and airforce to put down the armed revolts in one of its provinces, Chechnya. China does not

hesitate to use the Peoples Liberation Army against the Islamist secessionists in Xingxiang and the Tibetans aspiring for freedom in the Tibet Autonomous Region of China. Philippines has been using its armed forces against the secessionist Moros Indonesia had used its armed forces (though unsuccessfully) against the armed rebels in Papua-New Guinea (which was called Irian Jaya by Indonesia). Sri Lanka has used its armed forces against the LTTE and wiped them out. In all these cases, the insurgents and armed gangs swore enmity to the established state and to achieve their aim, they took to arms. India must make up its mind whether it recognises the Maoists as enemies of the State as they themselves have declared or will use policemen as cannon fodder for the Maoists. There is the serious prospect of defections and meek surrender when confronted by the Maoists as the State itself is not serious against the enemies. It is time enough for the Indian state define the Maoist armies and their above-ground infrastructure as insurgency and put it down with all the might of the State as Malaysia in the 1950s and Sri Lanka in 2009 had done. It is worth while to recall the most instructive call of Winston Churchill.

“If you will not fight for the right when you can easily win without bloodshed; if you will not fight when your victory will be sure and not too costly; you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you and only a small chance of survival. There may even be a worse case: you may have to fight when there is no hope of victory, because it is better to perish than to live as slaves.”

“If you will not fight for the right when you can easily win without bloodshed; if you will not fight when your victory will be sure and not too costly; you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you and only a small chance of survival. There may even be a worse case: you may have to fight when there is no hope of victory, because it is better to perish than to live as slaves.”

SAMAANA NYAYA VEDIKA EQAL JUSTICE FOR ALL (ANDARIKI SAMAANA NYAYAM)

(Since writing this in 2003 some more unequal treatments are being meted out to Hindus. Some of these are :

** Rs. 25,000 for Christians for their pilgrimage to Jerusalem (stopped after through a PIL in Andhra Pradesh High Court), Rs. 1500 grant to Christians & Muslims at the time of their marriage, total fee waiver for Muslims joining professional colleges, government grants for the construction of churches and mosques their repair and maintenance.*

** Waiver of property tax for Christian institutions (understood to be Christian educational institutions, church owned market places and office complexes ...). The Christian Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh publicly declared that (Feb 2006) that his is a government of minorities and in April Sri K. Rosaiah successor to Dr Y.S. Rajasekhara Reddy has declared that his is a government of Dalits. The Congress , TRS & TDP are competing with one another to give reservations to Muslims for government jobs and admission to colleges (even when they have unlimited number of minority educational institutions where 70% of the seats are sold to Hindus) and so on. Thus, taxes are heaped upon Hindus and the money so realised is by utilised for the welfare and promotion and pleasure and development of the foes of Hindus; that is those religions which are engaged in converting Hindus and finishing them off in India, the only country left for Hindus.)*

Even before India attained independence, there were intellectual movements for reforming Indian society, mainly addressing the extremely repulsive social practices like Sati, child

marriages, prohibition of widow remarriage, kulina (High Class) marriages (many parents wanting to give their daughters to a high born fellow, and the high born fellow virtually marrying one after another but not making a home) with none but one or two) etc. Later on, there were inquiries into untouchability and unjust impositions like Sanskrit and Vedas could not be learnt by any one other than the twice-born. Later on, there was movement for political independence. As the freedom movement got more extensive, the question arose whether more importance should be given to political Independence or social reform. There were discussions as to which should be striven for more vigorously – suraj (good Governance) or swaraj (self rule). The question of education for people other than the twice-born castes, their representation in Government jobs etc. also came to be agitated. In the combined presidency of Madras, was founded the Justice Party, mainly comprising of land-owning non-Brahmin castes and traders among Hindus. On limited franchise, the Justice Party won the elections to local bodies and the

State legislature, in the early 1930s. During the rule of the Justice Party, land-owning farming castes and Vysyas made considerable progress through education and participation in the political and social reform movements.

2 The most tormenting problem viz. untouchability and centuries old economic disabilities of the Harijans and the isolation of scheduled tribes came to be agitated by, chiefly Dr. Bhim Rao Ambedkar. It became acute when Ramsay MacDonald, the first Labour Prime Minister of England gave the communal

award of separate electorate and weighted representation for Muslims and also separate electorate and reserved seats for Harijans. Mahatma Gandhi raised no objections against the separate electorate and weighted representation for Muslims but undertook a fast unto death to negate the separate electorates for Harijans. Dr. Ambedkar compromised. There were no separate electorate for Harijans but there were reserved seats. The event has focused the urgency and acuteness of social justice for Harijans in the first instance and later on for the Scheduled Tribes and the Backward Castes among Hindus. During British times, there were reservations in the Madras presidency for recruitment to Government jobs and admissions to educational institutions, based upon caste.

3 After Independence, with the adoption of the Constitution of India in December 1950, the problem of social justice, especially in regard to Scheduled castes and Scheduled tribes engaged the attention of our leaders. Dr. Ambedkar's towering personality and his uncompromising commitment to justice for and welfare of Harijans (Gandhiji called the "untouchables" Harijans but Dr. Ambedkar called them depressive classes and now they are called Dalits), could not be ignored. When the Indian Constitution was adopted, there was no article for reservations for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes for jobs in Government or in educational institutions. The first amendment to the Constitution was in this regard. Reservations for Government jobs (including PSUs as interpreted later) for scheduled castes and scheduled tribes were provided in the Constitution by the 1st amendment, a significantly only for 10 years i.e. up to January 1961. Dr B R Ambedkar was under no illusion that reservations were the only correct means of justice; he placed more value on education and cultural upliftment. It is altogether a different matter that these

reservations for SCs and STs are being continued decade after decade and have now become more or less permanent; none daring to propose a sun-set date for them. On the other hand, caste-based reservations came to be demanded in the name of social justice by people of several hundred other castes. The Kaka Kalekar Commission (1950s) was set up to identify backward classes in the country. It enumerated about 3,500 castes; some of them even among Moslems and Christians. Caste became synonymous with class. is an unfortunate development. as a result of agitations and political populism, hundreds of castes have come to be notified as backward, more backward, most backward and for all these castes social justice advocates wanted reservations in Government jobs and admission to educational institutions. The second commission viz. Mandal Commission had enumerated more than 4500 castes, a quantum jump of about 1000 since the Kalekar commission's report. It is obvious that sections of the Hindu society started competing to demand that they belong to separate caste and that they must be classified as backward, more backward, most backward, totally and utterly backward; some of them even demanded that they be included in the scheduled tribes!

4 In Andhra Pradesh excepting Brahmins, Vysyas, Reddys, Kummas, Velamas, Kshatriyas and Kapus, every caste has come to claim to be declared as a backward caste. Reservations which were meant for some to last for 10 years up to 1961, have been extended decade after decade. There is no prospect of their ever getting terminated. One of the consequences has been that, generation after generation, people in the same family are benefiting from reservation. For example, a person who got into IAS cadre because of reservation, is having his son also as a beneficiary of reservations to enter into IPS or IAS cadre. The third generation is also becoming the beneficiary of reservations.

In fact, since not all SCs or STs can become Government. Officers, (the total number of jobs in AP Government is about 12 lakhs; the SC population is about 120 lakhs) or get admission into educational institutions by dint of reservations and relaxation of qualifications, reservations have come to create a new class of dynastically advantaged within the disadvantaged castes. Whether the benefit of reservations and relaxation of qualifications should be restricted to one or two generations is a matter often publicly debated but inconclusively and ineffectively.

5 While reservations have so far been only on the basis of caste and for the scheduled castes and tribes, **a new disadvantage or disability has descended upon sections of the so called forward** caste people. There are poor among them; not for one generation but for generation after generation also. Economically, they are as disadvantaged as the poor among the scheduled castes and scheduled tribes who have not benefited from reservations. Poverty is not the exclusive attribute of only some castes. That is why for purposes of welfare, there is a new criterion; namely, **people below poverty line (BPL)**. There is a definite criterion for classifying persons and families as BPL in Andhra Pradesh. Those who are BPL are given white ration cards. Their economic condition is worsening with time as the affirmative, welfare schemes and employment and financial assistance are mainly targetted for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. Many persons (estimated to be at least about one fourth of them) among the so called upper castes are also below poverty line just as some castes for whom reservations are provided. The BPL among the forward castes are disadvantaged for education, employment, housing pattas, etc. There are no reservations for admission to education institutions or for recruitment to Government jobs. Those below poverty line among the so

called forward castes are also not eligible for welfare schemes like pattas, welfare hostels etc. **This is patently unequal justice.** How, the unequal justice, because a person is born in a particular caste, affects him can be illustrated through a few examples. Archakas of temples are Brahmins. Their economic condition is miserable. Just like the poor among the scheduled castes, they can not afford higher education, for their children. They do not have houses. They do not have given house plots. What is true of poor Brahmins is also true of many poor Reddys, Kummas, Velamas, Kshatriyas, Vysyas and Kapus. It is natural justice and natural human aspiration that the poor among these should be entitled to the same proactive assistance and welfare schemes that Government is administering to people of Scheduled Castes and tribes.

6 There is talk of justice to the economically disadvantaged just like caste wise disadvantaged. But we understand that reservations for them like for the scheduled castes and Scheduled tribes and BCs can not be provided, without amending the Constitution. **We desire that just as the Constitution was amended several times in order to extend justice and welfare to every larger sections of people as deemed desirable from time to time, appropriate amendment to the constitution may be made to provide for reservations on consideration of economic poverty without caste criteria.** We realize that this may take some time and requires to be considered in its many aspects. In the meanwhile, the acute justice and welfare deprivation that the very poor among the so called FCs can be alleviated in a number of ways. For example:

- Persons in the so called non-backward, Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes castes but who are poor as defined by the Government. (entitled to white ration card) maybe made

eligible for all the welfare measures like housing for the poor, house-sites, bicycles, gas connections etc.

- Government may create an "Education Assistance Loan Fund" of Rs.500 crores and give non-interest or nominal interest bearing loans for the poor (white ration card holders) among the non-backward, non-scheduled castes, non-scheduled tribes people.

- BPL persons among the F.Cs also may be admitted to Welfare Hostels, made eligible to get loans for self-employment (like from Minority and SC/ST financial Corporations etc.)

7 Society must rethink the issue of for how many generations the dynastic successors of beneficiaries of affirmative action be entitled and how equal justice should be done to all citizens irrespective of their caste and religion.

8 The ***Samana Nyaya Vedika*** is founded to study the various aspects of ***social justice for all irrespective of their caste or religion***; how reservations and affirmative actions and welfare measures currently in vogue can be extended, not on the basis of heredity but on the basis of need for all, depending not only on the social disability that some have suffered but the economic disability that people are afflicted with for generation after generations. People concerned with human dignity and non-discriminatory justice should all deliberate upon issues of welfare, affirmative action, and justice for all citizens of India. While delivering some people from injustice there should be no creation of new privileged groups or communities.

9 The Smaanana Nyaya Vedika is an all-Andhra Pradesh platform and is of a federative nature. It will engage people in discussions and deliberations to secure equal justice for all people irrespective of heredity suffering similar deprivations and disadvantages. We will plead all, especially Government, political parties, intellectuals, social reformers and justice-levers.

The IPL Show

Dr T. H. Chowdary

The IPL 20-20 cricket series played day and night in different sports theaters (they are not stadia any longer but theaters) in India and abroad is nothing but like a mega cinema show; it is and not a sport any longer. It is an entertainment event. Just as we have unending serials on the TV screens, the IPL matches are other entertainment series but with deadly loss of productive work by millions of people who are inveigled to see the show. Just as mega cinemas are generating black money, so is the IPL 20-20 estimated to be involving Rs.20,000cr. The TV channels and even the All India Radio are giving it unwarranted and evil-generating publicity by giving news as well as show on the channels. More-over, the cricket mania that is being created is detrimental to our traditional, wholesome little time wasting games like football, hockey, basket ball and volley ball. These really exercise the players and are not wastefully engaging millions of viewers. The cricket mania is coming in the way of young Indians taking to wholesome sports. Government should not be a party to create this mania. It is better to ban this and if cannot be done legally, then the AIR and other government media at least should not mention this at all in their broadcasts.

No Property Tax for Minority Institutions

Dr T.H.Chowdary

There are 1735 minority educational institutions in the state of Andhra Pradesh. It is reported that for the last two years they are not paying property taxes to the local bodies as they had been promised by vote-seeking politicians that no minority property will be taxed! This is awful. Are these foreign embassies whose properties are not taxed? Are the minorities especially-privileged, god's own people to carry on their activities at the cost of the majority community? To facilitate their activities how could a secular government exempt them from property taxes? It appears that the government of the state is taken over by the minorities very creepingly. Measures that encourages and strengthens the minorities to decimate the majority community is being undertaken.

2. The root cause is political parties competitively bidding for the votes of the minorities. This can be stopped only if the minorities are given separate electorate and their representation in legislatures etc., is limited to their proportion at the time of Independence. This proposal to limit their representation is because there is studied, deliberate furious proliferations of their population to overwhelm the ununifiable Hindus.

Jawaharlal Nehru's in his Belief Infallibility- A Disaster to India

(We reproduced below a conversation between Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, and an IAS Probationer, Sri Yuvaraj Karan in 1950.)

Mohammed Ali Jinnah who propounded the theory that Moslems are a separate nation and so demanded the partition of India for creation of the Islamic state of Pakistan (and Bangladesh) as a national home for the subcontinent's Muslims proposed an exchange of population between Hindu India and Islamic Pakistan. Dr. B R Ambedkar in his masterly analysis of the Muslim problem of India in his book, "Pakistan of Partition of India", also advocated the exchange of minority population and cited the example of the exchange of Muslim and Christian populations in the Balkans of Europe on the dissolution in 1919 of the Ottoman Turkish empire. The exchange of population was supervised by the League of Nations after the first World War and thus put an end to the Muslim problem in non-Muslim Balkan states.

Here in India, Jawaharlal Nehru and Mahatma Gandhi opposed the exchange of populations. Both said they did not accept the two nation theory, but agreed to divide India on the basis of religion! In the event, Islamic Pakistan ethnically cleansed Hindus, Sikhs and Buddhists from that country and the Islamic state of Bangladesh is also doing the same thing in steps, but here in India the Muslims who rioted for Pakistan, were affectionately patronized by Nehru (and his Dynasty) and also Mahatma Gandhi. The 9% of Muslim population in India in 1947 has gone upto 13.4% according to census 2001 of India and over 15% according to Sachar Committee Report. Incidentally, Js Rajender Sachar, Manmohan Singh, Kuldip Nayar as well as Jyoti Basu and Budhadev Bhattacharji to mention a few. 'Secular' warriors have fled from the Islamic state of Pakistan in 1947 to escape massacre or forced

conversion.

Sri Yuvaraj Karan, a former IAS Officer, who is also one of the escapees from Pakistan (so beloved of Rajender Sachar, I K Gujral, Manmohan Singh and Company) has written a book, '**Understanding Partition**' published by Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan. When he was at the IAS Training Institute in Dehradun, in the first batch IAS trainees, Jawaharlal Nehru was invited to address them. At the end of Sri Nehru's self-confident and all-knowing address; Sri Yuvaraj whose mind was particularly exercised for the continued stay in India of the leaders of the Muslim League who had brought about partition of India and fought for the establishment of Pakistan, reacted to the observations made by Jawaharlal Nehru and provoked a dialogue. Here it is:

Y Krishna (YK) : Well, Sir, those who have brought about Partition have been left behind in the partitioned India. The Muslim League had declared that the Hindus and Muslims were two nations and had asked for partition because they feared that the Muslim, being a minority, would suffer oppression and atrocities at the hands of the Hindu majority. Pakistan was to be their homeland where they could live in freedom from the tyranny of the non-Muslim majority. But lo! And behold! The vast majority of the Muslims of U.P, Bihar, Central Provinces, Bombay, etc. remained behind in India and did not migrate to the homeland (Pakistan) created for them. We never accepted the two-nation theory though we were driven to accept Partition to avoid bloodshed and to achieve Independence.

Prime Minister (P M): We are not a communal State. The Muslims, who have decided to stay in India, are as much honourable citizens of the country as the members of the majority community. They cannot be victimized in the new situation for their actions and

“Those who carry on great public schemes must be proof against the most fatiguing delays, the most mortifying disappointments, the most shocking insults and what is most of all, the presumptuous judgement of the ignorant.” - A message from the famous Cadillac Company

conduct before and at the time of Partition.

We cannot and must not live in the past.

YK: True sir, but the immense suffering the people have undergone and the problems; only it has created new ones.

P M: you are too young to understand. The overwhelming majority of the Indian Muslims are politically backward and have been misled by the pernicious and poisonous propaganda of the Muslim League. So it will be wrong to treat the vast majority of Indian Muslims as being responsible for the ills of our country.

YK : True sir, the vast majority has been misled by the two-nation theory. But this does not absolve the Muslim League leadership; they are the authors of Partition. And yet, the majority of this leadership has also stayed back in India.. The Muslims of Pakistan, West Punjab, NWFP, Sindh and Baluchistan, as such, never wanted or asked for Pakistan. In fact, they did not need to.

There was a pause and silence for a couple of minutes.

The Raja of Mahamudabad, Begum Aizaz Rasul, Raja of Pirpur, Maulana Hasrat Mohanti etc., from U P, Syed Hussain Imam from Bihar, M .Mod. Ismail from Madras etc., to name a few of the host of Muslim League leaders, have stayed back in India though they had actively worked for the creation of Pakistan as the homeland for the Indian Muslims. They are not an iota of justification for such leaders being allowed to stay in India after having got the country partitioned on the basis of the two-nation theory. They ought to have gone to the homeland they asked for and obtained.

There was again a pause

P M Nehru's face was flushed. After a brief silence, he resumed

P M : we cannot abandon the nationalist Muslims who had fought and sacrificed for India's Independence.

YK: But the Congress has already abandoned the Khidmatgars led by the Frontier Gandhi.

P M: This was a most decision forced on us by the geo-political realities.

YK: I am not sure of the loyalty of the so-called nationalist Muslims after the creation of

Pakistan, considering the speeches (mischievous and rabble rousing) of the national Muslim leaders (those who were opposed to Partition) like Dr Syed Mahmud, Maulana Hafizur Rahman (of Jamiat-ul-ulema-e-Hind) etc., at the Lucknow conference of Mussalman, Hind (Dec 1947).

P M: This is false, mischievous, a canard intended to defame and denigrate the nationalist Muslims who have played a glorious role in India's Independence.

YK: The P M must be correct on this point. I have perhaps been wrongly informed. But the basic fact remains that the Muslim League leaders worked from Western UP – Meerut, Moradabad, Aligarh, Saharanpur, etc -organised the riots in Rawalpindi in March, 1947 which set the Punjab ablaze. It was not the work of the local Muslims of Rawalpindi in the initial stages but of the Muslim League leaders from UP. Is it also not shocking that the Muslim League leaders of Rampur State in UP should have launched a violent agitation by setting on fire several government buildings demanding accession of the Rampur State of Pakistan?

The face of the Prime Minister turned red in anger. He started puffing at this silver cigarette-holder.

At this point I had a very strong urge to recall the advice

PM Nehru had given to the Kashmiri Pandits in 1945 at a meeting in Sopore in the Kashmir Valley that if non-Muslims wanted to lie in Kashmir, they should join the National Conference (which was overwhelmingly a Muslim party) or bid good-bye to the country (Kashmir). But sensing the mood of the Prime Minister I was sullen and kept quiet.

The Principal of the Training School M J Desai, ICS was visibly feeling uncomfortable and edgy. As there was palpable tension in the atmosphere and **the Prime Minister Nehru was silent and red faced**, the Principal asked for the dinner bell to be rung. This relieved the tension in all of us. We collected our plates and made a bee-line for the dining table...

(Readers would note how indifferent if not hostile Sri Nehru was to the plight of Hindus even after partition. -Ed)

ARE YOU A SECULARIST?

- There are nearly 52 Muslim Countries. Show one Muslim country which provides Haj subsidy
- Show one Muslim country where Hindus are extended the special rights that Muslims are accorded in India?
- Show one country where the 85% majority craves for the indulgence of the 15% minority.
- Show one Muslim country, which has a Non-Muslim as its President or Prime Minister.
- Show one Mullah or Maulvi who has declared a "fatva" against terrorists.
- Hindu-majority Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Bihar, Kerala, Pondicherry, etc. have in the past elected Muslims as CM's, Can you ever imagine a Hindu becoming the Chief Minister of Muslim-majority J&K?
- Today Hindus are 85%. If Hindus are intolerant, how come Masjids and madrasas are thriving? How come Muslims are offering Namaz on the road? How come Muslims are proclaiming 5 times a day on loud speakers that there is no God except Allah?
- When Hindus gave to Muslims 30% of Bharat for a song, why should Hindus now beg for their sacred places at Ayodhya, Mathura and Kashi?
- Why Temple funds are spend for the welfare of Muslims and Christians, when they are free to spend their money in any way they like?
- When uniform is made compulsory for school children, why there is no Uniform Civil Code for citizens?
- In what way, J&K is different from Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu or Uttar Pradesh, to have article 370?
- If Uttaranchal, Jharkhand and Chhatisgarh can be created as separate states, why not Jammu?
- Why Gandhiji objected to the decision of the cabinet and insisted that Somnath Temple should be reconstructed out of public fund, not government funds. When in January 1948 he pressurized Nehru and Patel to carry on renovation of the Mosque of Delhi at government expenses?
- Why did Gandhiji support Khilafat Movement (nothing to do with our freedom movement) and what did he get in turn
- * Mr. Mohamed Ali speaking at Aligarh and Ajmere (1924) said:

"However pure Mr. Gandhi's character may be, he must appear to be from the point of view of religion inferior to any Musalaman, even though he be without character".

The Statement created a great stir. Many did not believe that Mr. Mohamed Ali, who testified to so much veneration for Mr. Gandhi was capable of entertaining such ungenerous and contemptuous sentiments about him. When Mr. Mohamed Ali was speaking in a meeting held at Aminabad Park in Lucknow, he was asked whether the sentiments attributed to him were true. Mr. Mohamed Ali without any hesitation or compunction replied.
- Yes! According to my religion and creed, I do hold an adulterous and a fallen gentleman to be better than Mr. Gandhi"
- If Muslims & Christians are minorities in Maharashtra, UP, Bihar etc., are Hindus not minorities in J&K, Mizoram, Nagaland, Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya etc? Why are Hindus denied minority rights in these states?
- Do you admit that Hindus do have problems that need to be recognised? Or do you think that those who call themselves Hindus are themselves the problem?
- Why post-Godhra is blown out of proportion,

-
- when non-one talks of the ethnic cleansing of 4 lakh Hindus from Kashmir?
- In 1947, after partition, when India was partitioned the Hindu population in Pakistan was about 19%. Today it is not even 1%. In 1947, the Hindu population in East Pakistan (now Bangladesh) was 30%. Today it is about 7%. What happened to the missing Hindus? Do Hindus have human rights?
 - In contrast, in Indian, Muslim population has gone up from 10.4% in 1951 to about 14% (claimed to be 20% by Moslems) today; whereas Hindu population has come down from 87.2% in 1951 to 85% in 1991. Do you still think that Hindus are fundamentalists?
 - Do you consider that-Sanskrit is communal and Urdu is secular, Mandir is Communal and masjid is Secular, BJP is communal and Imam is secular, BJP is communal and Muslim league is Secular, Dr. Praveen Bhai togadia is ANTI-NATIONAL and Bhukari is Secular, VandeMataram is communal and Allah-O-Akbar is secular, Shriman is communal and Mian is secular, Hinduism is Communal and Islam is secular, Hindutva is communal and Jihadism is secular, and at last, Bharat is communal and Italy is Secular?
 - When Christian and Muslim schools can teach Bible and Quran, Why Hindus cannot teach Gita or Ramayan?
 - Abdul Rehman Antuley was made a trustee of the famous Siddhi Vinayak Temple in Prabhadevi, Mumbai can a Hindu -s ay Mulayam or Laloo-ever become a trustee of a Masjid or Madrasa?
 - Do Praveen bhai Togadia has been arrested many times on flimsy grounds. Has he Shahi Imam of Jama Masjid, Delhi, Ahmed Bhukari been arrested For claiming to be an ISI agent and advocating partition of Bharat?
 - A Moslem minister in Mayawati's cabinet offered Rs. 50 crores to any one who would behead the Danish Cartoonist for his picture of the Prophet. Is this not a crime? Was he charged & punished.
 - When Haj pilgrims are given subsidy, why Hindu pilgrims to Amarnath, Sabarimalai & Kailash Mansarovar are taxed? A Muslim President, A Hindu Prime Minister and a Christian Defence Minister could run the affairs of the nation with a unity of purpose.
 - Can this happen anywhere, except in a HINDU NATION – BHARATH?
 - Please forward it to as many Indians as possible.

62nd National Day of Israel

On the 14th of May 1948 the State of Israel was re-founded after more than 1900 years of extinction of the State and exile of the people. One of the smallest state in the world with fewest people its achievements are fantabulous. Its security in defence, in agricultural, industry, discovery, invention and Nobel prizes.

Friends of Israel, a Unit of Pragna Bharathi will be observing the Israel National Day on the 14th of May.

Day, Date & Time: Friday, the 14 May 2010; 1600 Hrs

Venue: Auditorium of Badruka College, Kachiguda, Hyderabad

Speakers: Mr. Eli Belotsercovsky, Deputy Chief of Mission from the Israel Embassy & Sri Kanneganti Ramesh

Guests of Honour: Sri Hariprasad Badruka, Honarary Secretary,Badruka Educational Society

ALL ARE INVITED

Shivaji's letter to Aurangzeb

Muslim rulers of India who wanted to humiliate and impoverish Hindus who did not convert to Islam used to impose 'zezia' that is, a tax levied on non-Muslims. Akbar removed this tax holding it as unjust and also to buy the goodwill of Hindus. A number of Rajput rulers thereby became reconciled to the Mughal rule and even started serving them. Aurangzeb, the great great grand son of Akbar to most Islamic zealot ruler restored this zezia besides ordering that no Hindu temple should be built. He also desecrated Lord Shiva's temple in Varanasi and got many hundreds of temples pulled down. The sum realised through zezia was utilised to continue the oppressive rule of the Islamists and also provide for the welfare of the Muslims. The wakf lands and properties are also of this nature. The lands and other property of defeated Hindu rulers were confiscated and some of them were given to Muslim bodies to be used for the welfare of Muslims from the income that such wakf land and properties generate.

2. Shivaji before he became the Chatrapati wanted to end his battles against Mughal Empire. The Rajput General of Aurangzeb, Raja Jaisingh brokered a truce and in fact took Shivaji to Delhi to put an end to the war of the Marathas against Aurangzeb. Aurangzeb did not treat him with respect is due to him. Shivaji walked out and then escaped from captivity in a very daring manner. After returning to his kingdom safely he wrote a letter to Aurangzeb about the inequities he was heaping to Hindus especially the zezia. Here is text of the letter.

Aurangzeb was not deeply involved in wars with the Rajputs of Jodhpur and Mewar. He had made Ajmer his

headquarters. On 30th Nov 1679 the Emperor started from Ajmer and moved towards Udaipur. His order of the imposition of the Jaziya had provoked grave unrest throughout the country. It was at this time that Shivaji sent his celebrated letter to Aurangzeb, protesting against the imposition of the Jaziya.

Nothing emphasises Shivaji's role as a nation-builder of India than this letter which he, standing forth as the champion of the subjects of the Empire, wrote to Aurangzeb at this time. The tone, the dignity, the admonitions administered, the appeal to a higher humanity and finally the warning conveyed to the Emperor to desist from his ruinous steps make the letter one of the important documents of Indian history. Extracts from the letter follow,

"To the Emperor Alamgir. *This firm well-wisher Shivaji, deeply grateful for divine favour and your kindness as clear as daylight, begs to inform your Majesty as follow:*

"I returned from your presence without seeking your permission. It is my misfortune. But I am ready to serve you in every possible way. May your kindness be felt by everybody. As a well-wisher I am placing some matters before your.

"Recently it has come to my ears that owing to your war against me, your treasury has become empty. You have decided to meet the expenditure through the imposition of jaziya on the Hindus.

You Majesty! Akbar, the founder of your empire ruled for fifty-two years. He

had adopted the excellent policy of treating with peace and equality, Christians, Jews, Muslims, Dadupanthis, Stargazers, Malakis, Atheists, Brahmins, Jains, in fact all the communities. His aim was to ensure the welfare and protection of all. That is why he came to be known as the jagadguru. The result was that to whatever direction he turned, success and glory attended his arms. He brought most of the country under his sway.

"After him, Nuruddin Jahangir, ruled for twenty-two years. He led a life full of good deeds became immortal. Shahjahan ruled for thirty-two years. He too made his life fruitful through good deeds. That is why these rulers were successful in whatever direction they turned. During their rule a number of provinces and forts came under their sway. They have passed away, but their name endures. Their greatness is beyond description. One measure of their greatness is that Alamgir has tried to imitate them but without success. He is at a loss to understand why this should be so.

"The previous rulers, had they so desired, had certainly the power to impose jazia. But they felt that all men, big and small, were the children of God, and all religions, but means to the worship of the Almighty. They never allowed the feeling of religious hatred even to touch them. The memory of their kindness and the good deeds they did, is always fresh in the world. All men great and small, praise and bless them. During their rule the people had peace, and as a result, their glory increased day by day and success attended their affairs.

"But during your regime many provinces and forts have gone out of your hands.

The remaining provinces and forts too will be lost to you. I will not spare any effort to ruin the provinces. Your subjects are crushed. The income from your Paraganas and Mahals is decreasing day by day. It is difficult to realise even one thousand from places the income whereof was one lakh previously. Poverty is striking the kings and princes. The plight of noblemen and mansabdars is apparent to everybody. At present your soldiers are discontented, the Moslems are in anguish and the Hindus are scorched. Men are pining for bread. They are red in face because they have been striking their mouths with their hands.

"They are in such deep distress, and yet you have imposed jazia on them. How could you do this? This evil news will spread from east to west. People will say "The Emperor of Hindustan has taken a begging bowl and is out to realise jazia from Brahmins, Jains, Saadhus, Jogis, Sanyasis, Bairagis, the poor and the starving people. He takes pride in doing so. He is laying in dust the name of the Taimur dynasty. Such will be the deep feeling of the people.

"Your Majesty, In the Quran, God has been described as the Rabbul Alameen, the Lord of the entire universe, and not as Rabbulmusalmin, the Lord of the Mussalmans. In fact, Islam and Hinduism are both beautiful manifestations of the Divine Spirit. The call for prayers is given in the mosques, Bells ring to the Divine glory in the temples. Any one bearing fanaticism and religious hatred must be said to be acting against the commands of God. To presume to draw lines on these pictures is verily to lay blame on the Divine Artist(God).

"To point out blemishes in any creation only means that you are blaming the creator. Do not do so.

"To be just, jaziya cannot be justified on any grounds. IT is an innovation in India. This is unjust. If you feel that on grounds of religion and justice the imposition of this tax is essential, you should first realise it from Raja Rajsingh. For, he is the leader of the Hindus. It will not then be difficult to realise it from this well-wisher.

(Source: From the book, Chatrapathi Sivaji by Sethu Madhava Rao Pagadi, Page 311 to 314)

3. The Government of India with Jawaharlal Nehru as the Prime Minister became the started the restoration of privileges and beneficence to Muslims at the cost of Hindus. Calling it 'minority' welfare and 'secularism'. Jawaharlal Nehru himself moved a Bill for subsidising the Muslims Haj pilgrimage to Mecca. This is a purely communal act meant to please the Muslims obviously for their votes and for buying peace from them by way of avoidance of communal riots. Zezia was a direct tax. The Haj subsidy is indirect tax on Hindus for the benefit of Muslims. Currently it is amounting to over Rs.400 cr for year and it is growing. For the convenience and comfort of Haj pilgrimage in many State capitals Haj Houses are built on land given by governments and the constructions are subsidised from the funds of the secular governments.

When Dr Y. S. Rajasekhara Reddy a Christian became the Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh he wanted to out-performing Jawaharlal Nehru the Muslims pleasing secularist introduced subsidy for Christians pilgrimage to Jerusalem. After an year this was stopped though temporarily by the High Court of Andhra Pradesh and a PIL filed by a patriotic Telugu person. In Andhra Pradesh the Christian Chief Minister was

issuing scores of G.Os giving government money for the building of churches & mosques and their land and also giving grants for the marriages of Muslims & Christians. 95% of the government revenues are coming from Hindus and their business. This can be seen Muslims & Christians are being pleased and been pampered and given welfare and other measures from the tax monies Hindus are paid. Zezia with extended vigour to subsidise the other minorities is now in force in India. Thanks to the Nehruvian secularism which in practice is anti-Hinduism practiced by the so called secular governments & parties.

4. Shivaji and his Marathas had the valour of Hindus. That is why when Aurangzeb did not respond to end the discrimination against Hindus they fought and destroyed the Mughal empire and restored the Hindu rule, Hindu Padapadashahi in India. The remnant Muslims Nawabas and rulers are vassal of the Maratha power. Indeed Marjee Sindhia the Maratha ruler of Gwalior was the Prime Minister of the Dewazer Mughal emperor so called whose writ was confined to the city of Delhi and Indore. In stark contrast to Shivaji and his sons of justice and self-respect and fearlessness, seculars are more or less ostracize before the minorities for their votes. They are competing with one and other with promises of reservations, more grants, loan waivers, tax exemptions, grants to Madrasas and so on. It is an irony that Hindus are submitting in a competitive manner to the demands of their force because they are engaged in several ways to convert Hindus and to end Hinduism in this country and elsewhere. While there were only a few Jaichands in the past now every secular leader and his party is outdoing Jaichand and Jaisimha and Mansingh. In fact some of them consider in honor to give their daughters to the minority young men.

Pt. NEHRU ON ISLAMIC AND HINDU CIVILISATIONS

"Over the centuries, Hinduism had encountered many civilisations but never before one as advanced as that the British had brought with them. In the face of Muslim conquests, the Hindus had withdrawn themselves into a shell and refused to have any dealings with the invaders. The Hindu upper castes considered Islam as a rather crude approach to problems of philosophy and metaphysics. Even the momotheism of Islam they found in their own religion, together with monism, which was the basis of their own philosophy.

Nehru goes on to say: "The Muslims brought no new technique or political and economic structure. In spite of a religious belief in the brotherhood of Islam they were class bound and feudal in outlook. In techniques and in the method of production and industrial organisation they were inferior to what prevailed in India. Thus their influence on the economic life of India and its social structure was very little. (And yet secularists say we dereeloped a "composite" culture. They don't explain why it does not exist in Pakistan and Bangladesh but it must be aserted to exist only in India. -Ed)

This moribund non-changing, obscurantist system of Islamic polity and economy accounts for Muslim backwardness and a large poor, uneducated class despite centuries of Muslim rule. However, when they found themselves far behind the Hindus in the economic and educational field, they blamed their backwardness on the Hindus and me it a potent cause for anger and demanded for special treatment during the British period which too failed to help them. In Punjab, the educational revolution spearheaded by the Arya Samaj and Hindu expertise of economic development left them far behind".

Source: Discovery of India by Jawaharlal Nehru.

Indexes of human and economic development

for the people in the Islamic states of Pakistan, Bangladesh and several Arab countries are no better than the Muslims residents of India. Thus giving lie to the gobbelsian propaganda and charge that Muslims residents in India are adversely discriminated by the governments. The fact is a Muslim family's average has more children, as less education, madrasa schooling and not any employability generating education and general discouragement of women's education are the causes for their so called backwardness. They are backward in their recognition of non-Muslims but by themselves they consider they are the most civilised culture are endowed and accomplished people; developmental backward have different meanings for Muslims and non-Muslims unless until Muslims recapture state power in the country they will continue to say that they are being kept backward, discriminated against and so they are ailing it. Md. Ghazni, Md. Ghorri, Aurangzeb, Nadirsha and such people are their heros. Whereas for the rest of the people of Bharat Bappa Rawal, Prithvi Raj, Rana Prata of Shivaji, Krishna Devaraya, Gurugovind Singh are heros. Is it not difficult for people to integrate when their heros and villains are different and are mutually opposed.

Hussainiana

There is discussion about M.F.Hussain taking Qatar's citizenship while not surrendering the Indian passport and citizenship. The secular fundamentalists holding this event as a disgrace for India as it is the persecution launched upon by Hindu fundamentalists and that is the reason for Hussain's asylum outside India. This appears to be phony. Hussain says that there are commercial considerations for his acquiring the Qatari citizenship, whereas the secular, fundamentalists give a reason as the Hindus persecution. This is just like the jihadi terrorists blowing up human beings ascertaining that it is for the glory of Islam that they are doing

but the secularists holding that it is not Islam that inspires them. The defenders of Hussain as well as the jihadi suicide bombers seem to be knowing the inner thoughts while those involved themselves don't cherish those thoughts.

2. It may be that Hussain's paintings that seriously and severely outrage the sentiments of crores of Hindus for more than 20 years old. But was not the idea of two-nations (Musalmans & Hindus) as old as 1888 when it was first propounded by Sir Sayyed Ahmed in his speech to a Muslim conference in Meerut, Rehmat Ali's for the creation of a Pakistan state in 1930s and Md. Ali Jinnha's Lahore Resolution of 1940 years and decades old before the actual division burst?

3. The secular fundamentalists have been silent about the persecution of Taslima Nasrin not only in Bangladesh but in this the Marxist government of Bengal and its reluctance to allow her to stay anywhere in Bengal, Islamist militants in Hyderabad wanted to murder her when one of her books translated into Telugu was to be released, and the Islamist vandals attack ed Hindus shops and houses and establishments and state buses because somebody in far of Netherlands wrote some cartoons about Prophet or some Canada paper published a translation of Taslima Narseen's article about the Burkha and so on. These 'secular' fundamentalists did not lift their little finger against an 'secular' Muslims Minister in the UP government offering Rs. 50 cr award for any body who would cut off the heads of those who wrote the cartoon about the Prophet and these who published it in far of Netherlands. They also silent when a 'progressive' fellow-traveling creative writer calumniates Draupadi in a Telugu novel and contrives to get the Sahitya Academy Award. That this slander of Draupadi offends the sentiments of crores of Hindus is not a concern for the 'secularists'. They are always silent

To

about any calumny of any Hindu God or Goddess or epic character but they defend a non-Hindu work or writing calumniating or offending Hindu's sentiments saying that it freedom of expression is sacrosanct. It has never occurred to them as to why this famous Hussain has not used his freedom of expression to paint the most illustrious characters or personalities of his own religion.

4. After the struggle for Independence and the ascendancy of a particular Dynasty in this country, purging the education system of the sources and history and values and culture that inspire the people to one nation hood, there has emerged a breed of Indians dreamt of by Mecauly while introducing his system of education and doing away with the Indian system of education. The hope that a class of people Indians who are only in blood and colour native but in thoughts, in ideas, in preferences, in culture and manners western; that is non-Indian is being fulfilled. While during the British rule such a class could not be produced, after Independence such a class has come to occupy the commanding heights of our media, print as well as electronic. It is these resident non-Indians (RNIs) who are always coming to the rescue of whosoever is against Hinduism, Hindu history the Hindus culture and literature and gods and goddess. The combat between the Hussianits and the Bharatiyas is a replay of Aurganzeb vs. Shivaji.

*All Copy rights **renounced** to facilitate propagation of facts and truth. Contents of the Journal may be freely reproduced. Just give credit to the Journal(s)*

Printed and Owned by Dr. T.H. Chowdary and Edited & Published by him from Plot No. 8, P & T Colony, Karkhana, Secunderabad - 500009.
Telephone : +91 (40) 2784-6137, Fax : +91 (40) 6667-1111, E-mail : hanuman.chowdary@tcs.com
Printed at Baabuprinters, Hyderabad. Ph: (0) 92 465 07989 E-mail : baabuprinters@gmail.com